OPINION 1007

COSCINOC YATHUS BORNEMANN, 1884 (ARCHAEOCYATHA): DESIGNATION OF A TYPE-SPECIES UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS

RULING.—(1) Under the plenary powers all designations of type-species for the nominal genus *Coscinocyathus* Bornemann, 1884, made prior to the present Ruling, are hereby set aside, and the nominal species, *Coscinocyathus dianthus* Bornemann, 1884, is hereby designated to be the type-species of that genus.

(2) The generic name Coscinocyathus Bornemann, 1884 (gender: masculine) type-species, by designation under the plenary powers in (1) above, Coscinocyathus dianthus Bornemann, 1884, is hereby placed on the Official List of

Generic Names in Zoology with the Name Number 1988.

(3) The specific name dianthus Bornemann, 1884 as published in the binomen Coscinocyathus dianthus (type-species of Coscinocyathus Bornemann, 1884) is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2512.

HISTORY OF THE CASE (Z.N.(S.) 1924)

The present case was submitted to the office of the Commission by Dr. Françoise Debrenne in March 1970. Dr. Debrenne's application was sent to the printer on 1 July 1970 and was published on 23 December 1970 in Bull. zool. Nomencl. 27: 207-208. Public Notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the present case was given in the same part of the Bulletin as well as to the other prescribed serial publications (Constitution Art. 12b; Bull. zool. Nomencl. 21: 184) and to two specialist serials. No comment was received.

DECISION OF THE COMMISSION

On 4 January 1973 the Members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (72)22 either for or against the proposal set out in *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 27: 207-208. At the close of the prescribed voting period on 4 April 1973 the state of the voting was as follows:

Affirmative votes—twenty one (21), received in the following order: Melville, Holthuis, Munroe, Lemche, Mayr, Sabrosky, Eisenmann, Vokes, Habe, Starobogatov, Binder, Tortonese, Bayer, Brinck, Bernardi, Simpson, Ride, Corliss, Alvarado, Nye, Willink.

Negative votes—two (2): Dupuis, Rohdendorf.

Voting Papers not returned—one (1): Erben.

Commissioner Heppell returned a late negative vote and Commissioner Kraus returned a late affirmative vote.

The following comments were made by Commissioners in returning their votes:

Prof. E. Mayr (8.i.1973): "It was rather improper to describe the new genus Tubicoscinus in an application to the Commission. I hope some way will be

found to validate the genus properly. The publication in this place can probably be set aside because it was made conditionally: "if this proposal is accepted"."

Prof. B. B. Rohdendorf (4.ii. 1973): "My reason for voting against is as follows. Dr. F. Debrenne has proposed to establish a new type-species for an old genus in consequence of the discovery of new morphological features of the old type-species. The proposal is motivated by the desire to conservate the old generic name for the majority of species. This proposal is undoubtedly wrong and disregards the rules of Code (Article 70): the type-species of Coscinocyathus was determined by Ting (1937) and verified by Debrenne (1964). The designation of another type-species is not desirable, especially in such a little elaborated group as Archaeocyatha".

ORIGINAL REFERENCES

The following are the original references for names placed on the Official Lists by the Ruling given in the present Opinion:

Coscinocyathus Bornemann, 1884, Z. dt. geol. Ges. 36: 704

dianthus, Coscinocyathus Bornemann, 1884, Z. dt. geol. Ges. 36: 704

CERTIFICATE

I certify that the votes cast on Voting Paper (72)22 were cast as set out above, that the proposal contained in that Voting Paper has been duly adopted under the plenary powers, and that the decision so taken being the decision of the International Commission, is truly recorded in the present Opinion No. 1007.

R. V. MELVILLE Secretary

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

London
21 September 1973